



**CENTRAL TEXAS
Regional Mobility Authority**

AGENDA ITEM #4 SUMMARY

Authorize the Executive Director to finalize and execute a design-build contract for the MoPac Improvement Project with the apparent best value respondent to the Mobility Authority's request for detailed proposals.

Strategic Plan Relevance:	Regional Mobility
Department:	Engineering
Associated Costs:	N/A
Funding Source:	MoPac Improvement Project Funds
Board Action Required:	Yes

Description of Matter: On May 30, 2012, the Mobility Authority Board of Directors approved a shortlist of three proposers to advance to the detailed proposal stage of the design-build contract procurement for the MoPac Improvement Project. The Final Request for Detailed Proposals (RFDP) was issued to the three proposers on September 21, 2012. Detailed proposals were received from each of the proposers prior to the January 11, 2013, 4:00 p.m., submittal deadline.

A committee led by the Mobility Authority's Engineering Manager, Sean Beal, P.E., evaluated the proposals against the criteria provided in the RFDP. Based on these evaluations, the committee is seeking Board approval of an award to the recommended apparent best value proposer so that Mobility Authority staff and the apparent best value proposer may commence negotiations and enter into a design-build contract for the design and construction of the Project.

Reference documentation: Draft Resolution
Summary Presentation of Detailed Proposal Evaluation Process, Results, and Best Value Proposer Recommendation (to be provided at the Board meeting)

Contact for further information: Wesley M. Burford, P.E., Director of Engineering

**GENERAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS
OF THE
CENTRAL TEXAS REGIONAL MOBILITY AUTHORITY**

RESOLUTION NO. 13-___

**AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO FINALIZE AND EXECUTE
A DESIGN-BUILD CONTRACT FOR THE MOPAC IMPROVEMENT PROJECT
WITH THE APPARENT BEST VALUE RESPONDENT TO THE MOBILITY
AUTHORITY'S REQUEST FOR DETAILED PROPOSALS.**

WHEREAS, after finding the Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority (the "Mobility Authority") satisfied all the requirements of Section 228.0111, Transportation Code, the Board on September 29, 2010, enacted Resolution No. 10-86 to exercise the Mobility Authority's option to develop the MoPac Improvement Project (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, Subchapter K, Chapter 370, Transportation Code, authorizes the Mobility Authority to use a design-build method to develop the Project; and

WHEREAS, Subchapter A, Article 7, Chapter 4 of the Mobility Authority Policy Code implements applicable state law and establishes the process the Mobility Authority uses to solicit proposals for a design-build contract; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with those procurement policies and state law, by Resolution No. 12-032 approved on May 30, 2012, the Board of Directors identified three teams qualified to submit proposals in response to the Mobility Authority's request for detailed proposals ("RFDP") for the development of the Project through a design-build contract; and

WHEREAS, the RFDP was issued to the three qualified teams on September 21, 2012, and each team responded to the RFDP on or before the January 11, 2013, deadline; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Mobility Authority procurement policies, an evaluation committee analyzed and scored each proposal using the criteria and procedure set forth in the RFDP; and

WHEREAS, based on the evaluation of the responses to the RFDP, the Executive Director recommends that the proposal received from [REDACTED] provides the apparent best value to the Mobility Authority; and

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Directors selects [REDACTED] as the proposer presenting the apparent best value proposal to the Mobility Authority for development of the MoPac Improvement Project under a design-build contract; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that Executive Director is authorized and directed to finalize and execute a design-build contract with [REDACTED] for development of the MoPac

Improvement Project in accordance with the response to the RFDP, Mobility Authority Procurement Policies, and applicable law; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that if the Executive Director is unable to negotiate a satisfactory contract with _____, the Executive Director shall end all negotiations with that proposer by providing written formal notice to the proposer, and shall then proceed to negotiate with _____, and then with _____, until a design-build contract is reached or negotiations with all three proposers end.

Adopted by the Board of Directors of the Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority on the 27th day of February, 2013.

Submitted and reviewed by:

Approved:

Andrew Martin
General Counsel for the Central
Texas Regional Mobility Authority

Ray A. Wilkerson
Chairman, Board of Directors
Resolution Number: 13-____
Date Passed: 2/27/13